

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

_____	)	
MARJORIE FERRELL, et al.,	)	Civil Action No. C-1-01-447
	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	Judge Sandra S. Beckwith
	)	Magistrate Judge Timothy S. Hogan
v.	)	
	)	
WYETH-AYERST LABORATORIES,	)	
INC., et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	
_____	)	

**END-PAYOR PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST TO SUBMIT SUPPLEMENTAL  
AUTHORITY IN SUPPORT OF THEIR MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION**

Pursuant to Southern District of Ohio Local Rule 7.2(a)(2), End-Payor Plaintiffs seek leave of Court to bring the Court's attention to five recent rulings that may assist the Court in resolving the pending motion for certification of an end-payor class. In support thereof, End-Payor Plaintiffs state as follows:

1. Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Class Certification, together with a supporting memorandum, on March 1, 2002 [Doc. No. 40]. Defendants filed their memorandum in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion on July 17, 2002 [Doc. No. 57]. On September 27, 2002, Plaintiffs filed their reply memorandum [Doc. No. 63].

2. With respect to Plaintiffs' argument concerning certification of a multistate or national class for unjust enrichment claims (Pl. Reply at 43-49), Plaintiffs respectfully direct the Court's attention to four recent decisions:

(a) In *Clark v. TAP Pharmaceutical Products, Inc.*, 343 Ill. App. 3d 538, 550,

798 N.E.2d 123,132 (2003), an appellate court upheld certification of unjust enrichment claims under the law of Illinois (defendants' principal place of business) for a nationwide class of pharmaceutical purchasers. In so doing, the court rejected defendants' contention that unjust enrichment claims required "a physician-by-physician evaluation ... to determine why each physician decided to administer [the drug] Lupron." 798 N.E.2d at 132. The court concluded that "[w]e agree with the plaintiffs' reasoning and conclude that common issues, regarding both the plaintiffs' consumer fraud claim and the plaintiff's unjust enrichment claim, predominate over the issues that affect only individual members." *Id.*

(b) In *In re Relafen Antitrust Litig.*, No. 01-CV-12239 Order (D. Mass. Nov. 21, 2003) (attached as Exhibit A), the court certified a five-state exemplar (or model) class of end-payors of a prescription drug for unjust enrichment claims. The exemplar states were Arizona, California, Tennessee, Vermont and Massachusetts. Three consumers were certified as class representatives.

(c) In *Mantz v. St. Paul Fire and Marien Ins. Co.*, No. Civ. A. 02C-770, 2003 WL 23109763 (Cir. Ct. W. Va. Dec. 17, 2003) (attached as Exhibit B), the court certified a national class for unjust enrichment claims. *See id.* at \*7 ("Individual issues of state law pose no impediment to a finding of predominance in that this Court can apply substantially uniform law to claims with the same legal predicate. Alternatively, this Court may create sub-classes of Plaintiffs to ensure proper application of law. The key issue before this Court is the fact that Plaintiffs' claims are uniformly premised on the same factual predicate.").

(d) In *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litig.*, 218 F.R.D. 508, 517-520 (E.D. Mich. 2003), the court certified a nationwide class of end-payors of a prescription drug for

purposes of settlement.<sup>1</sup>

3. With respect to Plaintiffs' argument concerning certification of a multistate class for damage claims under state antitrust or consumer fraud statutes (Pl. Reply at 41-43), Plaintiffs respectfully direct the Court's attention to two recent decisions:

(a) In *Relafen* (Exhibit A), the court certified an exemplar (or model) class of end-payors for claims arising under state antitrust or consumer fraud statutes of Arizona, California, Vermont and Massachusetts. Three consumers were certified as class representatives.

(b) In *Cipro Cases I & II*, Nos. 4154, 4220, 2003-2 Trade Cas. (CCH) ¶74,230, 2003 WL 23005275, \*4 (Sup. Ct. Cal. Nov. 25, 2003) (attached as Exhibit C), the court certified a state-wide class of branded drug purchasers, stating that "[w]here, as here, Plaintiffs allege a market-wide restraint of trade, fact of injury is assumed for class certification purposes."

---

<sup>1</sup> In *In re Cardizem CD Antitrust Litig.*, 200 F.R.D. 326 (E.D. Mich. 2001), *leave to appeal denied*, No. 01-0109 (6th Cir. June 18, 2001), previously relied upon by Plaintiffs, the court certified a Michigan exemplar class for state antitrust and unjust enrichment claims. A motion to certify unjust enrichment claims for a national class was pending when the court certified a national class for purposes of settlement. Courts considering certification for purposes of settlement must apply the same Rule 23 standards, except that they need not "inquire whether the case, if tried, would present intractable management problems." *Amchem Prods. v. Windsor*, 521 U.S. 591, 620 (1997) (noting that the inquiry is unnecessary because "the proposal is that there be no trial") (citing Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 23(b)(3)(D)).

Dated: March 4, 2004

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Patrick E. Cafferty  
One of Plaintiffs' Counsel

Janet G. Abaray  
LOPEZ, HODES, RESTAINO,  
MILMAN, SKIKOS & POLOS  
312 Walnut Street, Suite 2090  
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202  
Tel: (513) 852-5600

Joseph E. Conley, Jr.  
BUECHEL & CONLEY  
25 Crestview Hills Mall Road  
Suite 104  
Crestview Hills, Ky. 41017  
Tel: (859) 578-6600

***Co-Liaison Counsel for End-Payor Plaintiffs***

Kenneth A. Wexler  
Elizabeth Fegan Hartweg  
Jennifer F. Connolly  
Anthony J. Sievert  
THE WEXLER FIRM  
One North LaSalle, Suite 2000  
Chicago, Illinois 60602  
(312) 346-2222

Marc H. Edelson  
HOFFMAN & EDELSON  
45 West Court Street  
Doylestown, Pennsylvania 18901  
(215) 230-8043

Brian L. Williams  
Susan E. MacMenamin  
HEINS MILLS & OLSON, P.C.  
3550 IDS Center, 80 South Eighth Street  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 338-4605

Patrick E. Cafferty  
MILLER FAUCHER and CAFFERTY LLP  
101 N. Main Street, Suite 450  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(734) 769-2144

***Co-Lead Counsel for End-Payor Plaintiffs***

Daniel Gustafson  
Gustafson Gluek PLLC  
600 Northstar East  
608 Second Ave S.  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 333-8844

Lee Squitieri  
SQUITIERI & FEARON  
421 Fifth Avenue  
26th Floor  
New York, NY 10175

Andrew B. Spark  
LAW OFFICE OF ANDREW  
BENNETT SPARK  
SouthTrust Bank Plaza-East Tower  
1800 Second Street, Suite 818  
Sarasota, Florida 34236

Robert C. Gilbert  
LAW OFFICES OF ROBERT C. GILBERT  
Commercebank Center  
220 Alhambra Circle, Suite 400  
Coral Gables, Florida 33134

Anthony Bolognese  
BOLOGNESE & ASSOCIATES  
One Penn Center  
1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 650  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Michael A. Havard  
PROVOST ★ UMPHREY LAW  
FIRM, L.L.P.  
390 Park Street  
Beaumont, TX 77701

Susan LaCava  
SUSAN LaCAVA S.C.  
23 N. Pinckney, Suite 300  
Madison, Wisconsin 53703

Nicholas E. Chimicles  
James R. Malone, Jr.  
CHIMICLES & TIKELLIS, LLP  
361 West Lancaster Avenue  
Haverford, PA 19041  
(610) 642-8500

Steven Scholl  
DIXON, SCHOLL & BAILEY, P.A.  
707 Broadway, N.E., Suite 505  
Albuquerque, NM 87125-6746

Jeffrey R. Fuller  
REINHART, BOERNER, VAN DEUREN,  
NORRIS & RIESELBACH, S.C.  
1000 N. Water Street  
Milwaukee, WI 53202-3400

Jonathan Shub  
SHELLER, LUDWIG & BADEY  
1528 Walnut St., 3rd Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19102

Joe R. Whatley, Jr.  
WHATLEY DRAKE, LLC  
1100 Financial Center  
505 20th Street North  
Birmingham, AL 35203

Bernard Persky  
Barbara Hart  
GOODKIND LABATON RUDOFF  
& SUCHAROW LLP  
100 Park Avenue  
New York, New York 10017

Douglas G. Thompson  
William Butterfield  
L. Kendall Satterfield  
FINKELSTEIN, THOMPSON &  
LOUGHRAN  
1055 Jefferson Street, NW, Suite 601  
Washington D.C. 20007

Jon Karmel  
KARMEL & GILDEN  
221 N. LaSalle Street  
Suite 1414  
Chicago, Illinois 60601

Ira Neil Richards  
TRUJILLO RODRIGUEZ &  
RICHARDS, LLC  
The Penthouse  
226 West Ritten House Square  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

*Counsel for End-Payor Plaintiffs*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify that a copy of the foregoing pleading was served by First-Class U. S. Mail, postage prepaid, this 2<sup>nd</sup> day of March, 2004, on the following:

William J. Baer, Esq.  
David S. Eggert, Esq.  
ARNOLD & PORTER, LLP  
555 Twelfth Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20004-1206

Dan K. Webb, Esq.  
W. Gordon Dobie, Esq.  
WINSTON & STRAWN LLP  
35 West Wacker Drive  
Chicago, IL 60601-9703

Ruthanne Gordon  
BERGER & MONTAGUE, P.C.  
1622 Locust Street  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Eliot Long, Esq.  
BUCHANAN INGERSOL  
1835 Market Street, 14<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Philadelphia, PA 19103

James R. Adams, Esq.  
Grant S. Cowan, Esq.  
FROST BROWN TODD LLC  
2200 PNC Center  
201 E. Fifth Street  
Cincinnati, OH 45202-4182

Steve D. Shadowen, Esq.  
Gordon A. Einhorn, Esq.  
HANGLEY ARONCHICK SEGAL &  
PUDLIN  
30 North Third Street, Suite 700  
Harrisburg, PA 17101-1810

Thomas L. Long, Esq.  
BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP  
Capitol Square, Suite 2100  
65 East State Street  
Columbus, OH 43215

/s/ Joseph E. Conley, Jr.  
Joseph E. Conley, Jr.